Hydrophobicity of Fluoroalkylsilaneand Alkylsilane-Grafted Surfaces

M. Farzaneh, S.A. Kulinich, and C. Volat

NSERC / Hydro-Quebec / UQAC Industrial Chair on Atmospheric Icing of Power Network Equipment (CIGELE) and Canada Research Chair on Engineering of Power Network Atmospheric Icing (INGIVRE) at Université du Québec à Chicoutimi,Québec, Canada, G7H 2B1 (http://cigele.ca)

Abstract— This work aims at theoretical evaluation of hydrophobic properties of surfaces coated with certain selfassembling organic monolayers (SAMs). Being coatings with low surface energy, such SAMs are potentially useful for snowand/or ice-repellent applications. In this paper, in a framework of search for snow- and ice-repellent coatings, we analyze wetting behavior of most common and commercially available SAMs. A comparative analysis of hydrophobicity of fluoroalkylsiloxane and alkylsiloxane monolayers, on smooth and rough surfaces, is based on a simple model that considers various self-assembly degrees of organic molecules and various area fractions of air inclusion. Sliding behavior of water on rough "composite" needle-like surfaces is also evaluated. On flat surfaces, regardless of assembly degree of coatings, contact angles of fluoroalkylsiloxane monolayers are always ~10° larger. The difference, however, decreases on rough "composite" substrates with air inclusion. It is shown that assembly order of organic molecules and reduced water-solid contact area are the key factors leading to both high contact angles and low sliding angles.

Keywords: Fluoroalkylsiloxanes; Alkylsiloxanes; Low surface energy; Roughness; Contact angle; Sliding angle; Water repellency; Super-hydrophobicity

I. INTRODUCTION

In cold climate regions, there is always a critical problem of decreasing the adhesion of snow and ice to constructions under low-temperature environment. In recent years, various water-repellent coatings have been proposed for this purpose [1-5]. To reduce the adhesion of ice (an adhesive whose liquid form is water), requires reducing substrate wettability, making it more hydrophobic. This implies reducing its reactivity and surface forces, making it more inert, more incompatible with water [5-11]. The resulting higher contact angle makes it more likely to occlude air at the interface [5-8].

Production of self-assembled monolayer (SAM) coatings is one of the most successful approaches to chemical modification and hydrophobization of many hydrophilic surfaces [6-8,12-16]. A perspective use of various SAM coatings as snow- and ice-repellent materials with low surface energy has been recently reported by a few groups [1-3]. However, there is still a lack of systematic knowledge on how the microstructure and surface chemistry of SAMs influence the hydrophobic and, in particular, snow and ice-repellent properties of the coatings. As SAMs of fluoroalkylsilanes are expected to demonstrate even lower surface energy compared to that of alkylsilanes, it is interesting to compare their hydrophobicity. The latter has been demonstrated to have a direct correlation with interfacial strength between SAM-grafted surfaces and ice [1].

This paper presents a comparative analysis of wetting behavior of both flat and rough surfaces covered with the most common fluoroalkylsiloxane (FAS-17 and FAS-13) and octadecylsiloxane (ODS) monolayers. Such SAMs can be formed from commercially available silanes, such as: 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorodecyltrimethoxysilane [6,13,14,17-19] or 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorodecyltrichlorosilane [7,20] (FAS-17); 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctyltrichlorosilane [20] (FAS-13); and octadecyltrichlorosilane [12,15,21-23] (ODS). Another intention of the present study was to show the importance of the self-assembly order as an important factor governing hydrophobicity of SAM-coated surfaces. Both static (defined by the contact angle of water droplet) and dynamic (defined by the sliding angle) hydrophobicities are theoretically modeled as functions of self-assembly order/disorder degree and area fraction of air entrapped into rough hydrophobic surfaces.

II. MODEL DESCRIPTION

The formation mechanism of fluoroalkylsilane SAMs was not investigated extensively and is likely to be similar to that of alkylsilane monolayers [12,15,21-24]. The analysis of literature shows that self-assembling of alkylsilanes involves the following steps. First, the molecules are hydrolyzed by water and form silanol-containing species. The OH groups of these silanols form hydrogen bonds to each other and to surface OH groups. Interactions between the alkyl chains direct chain ordering to form a well-organized and dense film. Finally, the organized monolayer is covalently grafted by the condensation reaction of the hydroxyl groups to form Si-O-Si linkages within the monolayer and with the surface [12,15,16,21-23]. Depending on reaction conditions, the formation of complete monolayers with a dense, wellorganized assembly with aligned alkyl chains, or the creation of a coating with disordered molecules that have not selfassembled to form condensed domains can be observed [12,21-24]. In Fig.1, disordered species (right) and a wellorganized domain (left) are shown for a FAS-13 monolayer. The relative proportion of ordered and disordered phases depends on water and silane concentrations, solution age, chain length of silane molecules, temperature, reaction time,

dispersion pH, substrate surface conditions, and steric effects [12,16,21-24]. Moreover, self-assembly is not the only reaction possible between alkylsilanes and surfaces; covalent attachment and vertical polymerization of silane monomers are also possible under certain conditions [24].



Fig. 1. 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctylsiloxane (FAS-13) monolayer on an oxide surface. Well-oriented, or self-assembled, (left) and collapsed (right) molecules are shown, exposing their topmost CF_3 and CF_2 (or CH_2) groups, respectively.

On the basis of the above, a model describing the wetting behavior of fluoroalkylsiloxane and alkylsiloxane SAMs with the following assumptions is proposed: (i) The distribution of heterogeneities is assumed to be uniform over the surface, irrespective of their chemical or geometrical character; (ii) The effect of pores in coatings is negligible, and the surfaces are fully grafted by SAM molecules, which expose their CF₃ (or CH₃) and CF₂ (or CH₂) groups atop (see Fig.1); (iii) The wettability of a smooth fluoroalkylsilane (or alkylsilane) grafted surface is defined by relative contributions of CF_3 (or CH₃) and CF₂ (or CH₂) groups interacting with water. Wellassembled aggregates with vertically aligned fluoroalkyl (alkyl) chains are terminated by CF_3 (or CH_3) groups (Fig.1, left), whereas CF_2 (or CH_2) groups start to be exposed when a continuous growth with formation of disordered submonolayer takes place (Fig.1, right); (iv) The parameters f_3 and f_2 are introduced as area fractions of CF₃ (CH₃) and CF₂ (CH₂) -terminated surface domains, respectively. Thus, coatings with high degrees of assembly are characterized by high values of f_3 and low values of f_2 , provided that $f_3 + f_2$ =1; (v) Rough surfaces and air inclusion in the surface structure are modeled by changing fractions of water and aircovered surface domains.

For the simplest cases of smooth and chemically heterogeneous surfaces composed of N phases, the wettability can be evaluated from eqs.(1) and (2), which are generalized cases of the Israelachvili-Gee [25] and Cassie [26] equations for N-phase surfaces with domain sizes of molecular and micrometer dimensions, respectively. Relation (1) is used whenever the size of chemically heterogeneous domains approaches molecular dimensions, while eq.(2) describes surfaces composed of well-separated and distinct patches of micrometer size or larger. Both types of heterogeneity, with patch sizes of below and over 1 μ m, have been demonstrated, e.g., for ODS monolayers on Si and mica substrates, and occur depending on process parameters [12,21-23].

$$\left(1+\cos\theta\right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i \left(1+\cos\theta_i\right)^2 \tag{1}$$

$$\cos\theta = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i \cos\theta_i \tag{2}$$

where, θ is the equilibrium contact angle of a chemically heterogeneous and ideally smooth surface; θ_i contact angle for a pure homogeneous constituent phase with its area fraction f_i $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i = 1$; The contact angles on pure surfaces used in this work were $\theta(CH_3)=111^\circ$, $\theta(CH_2)=94^\circ$, $\theta(CF_3)=120^\circ$, and $\theta(CF_2)=108^\circ$ [9,10,16]. Recently, eq.(1) has been used experimentally to describe the degree of order/disorder of hydrophobic coatings of water dispersible organosilane [16] coatings. It was shown that area fraction of experimentally obtained, well-organized domains f_3 can reach 0.95 [16]. For completely wetted rough surfaces (like in Fig.2a), Wenzel proposed a model to describe the contact angle θ_r considering the actual surface area [27]. According to the model, eqs.(1) and (2) are modified into eq.(3):

$$\cos\theta_r = r\cos\theta \tag{3}$$

where r is a roughness factor, defined as the ratio of the actual area of a rough surface to its geometrically projected area [8,14].



Fig. 2. (a) Schematic representation of wetting of hydrophobic solid surface at low roughness. (b) Air is entrapped beneath the water droplet on the surface after certain increase in roughness. Schematic illustration of the surface model composed of a series of uniform needles which is used for sliding angle calculations.

When the roughness factor of a hydrophobic surface exceeds a certain value, the system switches its dominant wetting from the Wenzel mode (Fig.2a) to the Cassie mode (Fig.2b), in which the water does not penetrate into the troughs [6,8,14,28]. Such surfaces are called "composite" surfaces because the intersection of the water droplet with the surface consists of a composite mixture of water-air and water-solid interface area. The wettability of such surfaces, provided that air is trapped as micro-pockets and $\cos 180^\circ = -1$, is expressed by eq.(4):

$$\cos\theta_c = f^w \cos\theta - f^a = f^w \cos\theta + f^w - 1 \tag{4}$$

where θ_c is the contact angle of water on a "composite" surface with air trapped; f^w is the area fraction of the watercovered solid surface; and f^a is the area fraction of the aircovered solid surface.

Omitting the derivation procedure, which can be found in detail elsewhere [9,10], we arrive at eq.(5), which describes contact angles of rough "composite" surfaces composed of two phases, CF_3 (CH_3)–terminated and CF_2 (CH_2)–terminated ones:

$$\cos \theta^* = f_3 (f_3^w (1 + \cos \theta_3) - 1) + (1 - f_3) (f_2^w (1 + \cos \theta_2) - 1)$$
(5)

Here, superscript "w" denotes water, and the parameters f_{3}^{w} and f_{2}^{w} indicate area fractions of CF₃ (CH₃)-terminated and CF₂ (CH₂)-terminated domains covered with water, respectively.

As water droplets on a rough hydrophobic surface often reside in metastable states and thus exhibit metastable contact angles [6,17,28], the static contact angle alone is not sufficient to reflect the wettability of the surface. As was demonstrated experimentally, surfaces with high contact angles do not always show low sliding angles [28,29]. Therefore, when describing hydrophobicity, the sliding property of water droplets should also be evaluated and considered along with the contact angle [6,17,28,30]. Recently, sliding angles as low as 0.15° (droplet of 20 mg) [30] and ~1° (for a water droplet of 7mg) [6] have been experimentally achieved on low-energy surfaces of diamond-like carbon-polydimethylsiloxane hybrid coatings [30] and of FAS-17-coated boehmite [6].

To qualitatively evaluate sliding angles of rough SAMcoated surfaces as a function of self-assembly f_3 , we adopt eq.(6), which was proposed in ref. [6] to describe sliding angles of water droplets on rough and uniform needle-like surfaces. Fig.2b shows a schematic illustration of such a surface.

$$\sin_{\alpha} = \frac{2Rk\sin\theta^*(\cos\theta^*+1)}{g(R\cos\theta+1)} \left(\frac{3\pi^2}{m^2\rho(2-3\cos\theta^*+\cos^3\theta^*)}\right)^{1/3}$$
(6)

In eq.(6), α is the minimum angle at which a droplet begins to slide down the inclined surface; R is the ratio of the side area to the bottom area of a needle (defined by the ratio a/b in Fig.2b); θ and θ^* are contact angles on smooth and rough "composite" surfaces, respectively; *m* and ρ are the mass and specific weight of a water droplet, respectively; g is the gravity acceleration; and k is a constant, which was related to the interaction energy between solid and liquid by Murase and Fujibayashi [29].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Flat surface

The contact angle for completely disordered monolayers of fluoroalkylsiloxanes and alkylsiloxanes on smooth surfaces may be calculated from eq.(1), which is for chemically heterogeneous surfaces with atomic- or molecular-sized domains. For a 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctylsilane-grafted surface with completely collapsed monomers (Fig.1, right) eq.(1) is modified into eq.(7):

$$(1 + \cos \theta (FAS - 13))^2 = 0.1(1 + \cos \theta (CF_3))^2 + 0.7(1 + \cos \theta (CF_2))^2 + 0.2(1 + \cos \theta (CH_2))^2$$
(7)

From eq.(7) θ (FAS-13) = 105.1°, which is somewhat lower than the water contact angle of 108° on pure Teflon surface terminated with CF₂ goups [10,31]. Similarly, contact angles for completely disordered perfluorodecylsiloxane θ (FAS-17) and octadecylsiloxane θ (ODS) monolayers are obtained to be equal to 105.7° and 94.8°, respectievely. Note that the latter is somewhat higher than 94°, which was previously used for completely disordered alkylsilane SAMs [9,16].

Fig.3a shows the influence of the degree of fluoroalkylsilane and alkilsilane self-assembly on the contact angle of a sessile water droplet, as calculated from eq.(1). The three curves present the wetting behavior of smooth surfaces grafted by FAS-17, FAS-13, and ODS monolayers. As expected, perfluorodecylsilane-grafted surfaces demonstrate somewhat higher water contact angles than those of perfluorooctylsilane-grafted substrates at lower assembly degree (f_3) . However, the difference, which is due to the number of exposed CF₂ and CH₂ groups, gradually decreases with an increase in assembly, since the surfaces start to expose mainly their topmost CF_3 groups at f_3 close to 1. The values of contact angles monotonically increase as the area fraction of well-organized (CF₃ or CH₃-terminated) domains increases, being larger for fluoroalkylsilane coatings. The maxima achieved on flat surfaces are equal to 120° and 111°, corresponding to the data for pure CF₃ and CH₃ surfaces, respectively [9,10,16]. This implies the importance of controlling the f_3 parameter when SAMs with improved water repellency are to be prepared.

As reported previously [9,25] and as expected from eqs.(1) and (2), for the same values of f_3 the predicted contact angles for surfaces of micro-sized patches are somewhat larger than for those of molecular-size domains; this is also shown in Fig.3a by dashed and solid lines for ODS monolayers.

B. Rough surface and air inclusion

Based on the assumption of uniform surface distribution of CF₃ (CH₃) and CF2 (CH2)-terminated domains, and assuming air inclusions at micrometer scale or bigger, it is expected that on real SAM-grafted surfaces with air entrapped, the condition of $f_3^w \approx f_2^w$ will be realized. Therefore, hereafter, contact and sliding angles are evaluated only for SAM-grafted needle-like "composite" surfaces with $f_3^w = f_2^w$.



Fig. 3. Contact angle of water droplet on SAM-grafted surfaces as a function of assembly order/disorder degree. (a) Smooth surfaces with 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorodecylsiloxane (FAS-17), 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctylsiloxane (FAS-13), and octadecylsiloxane (ODS) monolayers. Dashed and solid lines indicate surfaces with micro-sized and nano-sized heterogeneous patches, respectively. (b) Effect of roughness for FAS-17 monolayers in Wenzel's wetting mode. Curves for nano-sized patches are presented.

It is well known that water contact angles on smooth hydrophobic surfaces are not over $110-120^{\circ}$ [15,31,32]. However, the situation is quite different on roughened surfaces. Fig.3b shows that water contact angles of rough SAM-grafted surfaces in Wenzel's wetting mode, calculated using eq.(3) for FAS-17 SAMs at r = 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3, increase constantly compared to those of smooth surfaces (curve marked with r = 1.0). Thus, rougher substrates allow to

raise static water contact angles, and for well-organized fluorosiloxane monolayers the latter can exceed 120° . It is worth noting that surface roughness of hydrophobic SAM-coated substrates can be designed, e.g., by depositing underlayers of SiO₂ or Al₂O₃ with a desirable surface morphology [13], by means of substrate patterning [4,14], or by plasma etching of the substrate before the SAM film is grafted [7].



Fig. 4. (a) Contact angle of rough FAS-17-grafted "composite" surfaces as a function of water coverage of CF₃-terminated patches (f_{3}^{w}) and CF₂ (CH₂)-terminated patches (f_{2}^{w}) at assembly degrees f_{3} = 0.1, 0.5, and 0.9 (curves 1, 2, and 3, respectively). (b) Comparison of contact angles on FAS-17 and ODS-grafted "composite" surfaces at assembly degree of f_{3} = 0.5.

The importance of air entrapped in the surface structure for achieving a high water contact angle has been emphasized by a number of researchers [6,8,14,28]. Fig.4a shows θ^* as a

function of surface water-coverage fraction as $(f_3^w = f_2^w)$ calculated from eq.(5) for FAS-17-grafted "composite" surfaces. Three different cases of SAM assembly degrees with f_3 = 0.1 (1), 0.5 (2), and 0.9 (3) are shown. It can be observed that higher values of θ^* are attained at lower, and at increased self-assembly order (f_3 close to 1).

Fig.4b compares contact angles on FAS-17 and ODSgrafted "composite" surfaces, both with assembly order f_3 =0.5, as a function of surface water-coverage fraction. It can be noted that contact angles on rough "composite" surfaces coated by perfluorinated SAM (FAS-17) are always higher than those on ODS-grafted surfaces. This can be easily explained by lower energy of fluorine-terminated surfaces [32]. It is clear in Figs.4a,b that water contact angles much higher than 120° (maximum possible for a flat CF₃-terminated surface [32]) can be achieved on rough "composite" surfaces under certain conditions.

Note that the condition of $(f_3^w = f_2^w)$ for FAS-17 and ODS-covered surfaces in Fig.4b implies their water-coverage fractions to be equal, rather than equal geometrical topologies. We suppose that the ODS-grafted surfaces in Fig.4b should have more "advantageous" topographies compared to those of FAS-17-grafted ones to satisfy the same requirement of, as being higher-energy surfaces. For this reason, it is believed that, on substrates with same topographies, a difference somewhat greater than that between curves 1 and 2 in Fig.4b should be expected, since a higher water-coverage regime for ODS-coated surfaces should be realized (with deeper water penetration into surface troughs) [10].

C. Sliding angle on rough surfaces

Fig.5a qualitatively compares sliding angles of water droplets with m = 20 mg on "composite" FAS-17, FAS-13, and ODS coatings as functions of SAM assembly degree at $f_3^w = f_2^w = 0.5$. The results were obtained by using eq.(6) in combination with eqs.(1) and (5) for surfaces with R = 1.15, in accordance with the surface model of Miwa et al. [6]. schematically shown in Fig.2b. For simplicity, the constant kfor FAS-13 and FAS-17 coatings was chosen to be of 12.49 mJ/m^2 and independent of their assembly degree. This value was reported by Miwa et al. as the experimental constant for flat FAS-17-coated glass [6]. This value is believed to represent the interaction between water and well-assembled FAS-17 siloxane monolayers, although the authors of ref.[6] have not reported any data on the assembly degree of their coatings. For the ODS monolayers in Fig.5a, $k=17.8 \text{ mJ/m}^2$ is used, which is the experimental constant for the waterpolypropylene system [33] and is assumed to be a reliable value for water-alkylsiloxane monolayer interactions (also defined by CH₂ and CH₃ surface groups exposed). It is clear from Fig.5a that sliding angles on perfluorinated-SAM-grafted "composite" surfaces are significantly lower than those on surfaces coated with ODS. The difference between FAS-13 and FAS-17 monolayers is weak and mainly seen only at low assembly degrees of SAMs; and this is because at higher f_3 the interaction water-solid is dictated by CF3-groups (see Fig.1, left).

Fig.5b shows sliding angles as a function of surface watercoverage fraction The three dependencies are given for FAS-17-coated "composite" surfaces with different assembly order equal to 0.1 (1), 0.5 (2), and 0.9 (3). It is seen that surfaces indicated by curve 3 (with the highest assembly of organic molecules) provide lower sliding angles. Thus, from Figs.5a,b, one can conclude that lower water sliding angles may be attained on hydrophobic surfaces with low fractions of water coverage for both CF₃ and CF₂ (CH₂) -terminated domains, f_3^w and f_2^w . Because of high air ratio at the water-solid interface, sliding resistance of such surfaces is reduced, giving rise to lower sliding angles. It is also seen that increasing f_3 leads to reduced water sliding angles, thus providing enhanced surface dynamic hydrophobicity as well.

Strictly speaking, as being related to the interaction energy between a solid surface and water [6,29,33], the constant kshould be f_3 dependent. Representing the surface energy of a solid, k is expected to be slightly larger for coatings with low values of f_3 and lower for those with f_3 close to 1. Such a f_3 dependent value of k is expected to result in somewhat greater sliding angles at low f_3 and lower ones at f_3 close to 1 (see eq.(6)).

It should be mentioned that the approach used in this work does not account for the geometry/topography of the surfaces under consideration. To date, there has been only limited research on how surface shapes and dimensions (roughness topography) influence static and/or dynamic hydrophobicity of rough surfaces [6,14,28,34]. The topological nature of the surface roughness is of prime importance in determining hydrophobicity; a proper interval and height difference in the microstructure are required to provide both high contact angles and low sliding angles on rough hydrophobic surfaces [6,28,34]. According to the recent approach of Chen at al. [28], to decrease water sliding angle, a properly designed surface is expected to not allow a continuous contact of a liquid with the surface and to demonstrate little or no difference in energy between different metastable states. As a result, the liquid droplet would not remain pinned in a metastable state and would move spontaneously on such a surface. Thus, additional work, combining both experimental and theoretical approaches, is needed to further understand the effect of roughness topography on wetting of hydrophobic SAM-coated surfaces.



Fig. 5. (a) Sliding angle of rough SAM-grafted "composite" surfaces as a function of assembly degree f_3 . Comparison is given for three types of SAMs on surfaces which are 50% in contact with water. (b) Sliding angle of FAS-17-grafted "composite" surfaces as a function of area fraction of water-covered patches ($f_3^w = f_2^w$) for surfaces with different assembly degrees: f_3 = 0.1 (1), 0.5 (2), and 0.9 (3). Water droplet mass is 20 mg.

D. On snow and ice repellency of SAM coatings

It is commonly accepted that finding low-energy surfaces or coatings and introducing air between the ice and substrate stand among the major strategies to reduce ice/surface adhesion that have previously been proposed in the literature [1,3,5,9,10]. As low-surface-energy coatings, certain alkylsilane SAMs have recently attracted the attention of investigators in this field. According to the recent results of Somlo and Gupta [3], ice adhesion decreases when a SAM is formed from dimethyl-n-octadecilchlorosilane on an Al alloy substrate [3]. Furthermore, Petrenko and Peng have found good correlation between the contact angle of water and iceadhesion strength on surfaces covered with mixtures of SAMs of 11-hydroxylundecane-1-thiol and 1-dodecanethiol with various degrees of hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity [1]. Taking the above into consideration, the following conclusions may be drawn:

(i) The formation of SAMs with high assembly degree [12,16,21-23] appears to be an important factor governing surface hydrophobicity and, probably, snow and ice repellency. As shown above, this improves both static and dynamic water repellency. While this parameter is often ignored in the literature [3,6,7,14], one of the main objectives of this study was to reveal the importance of controlling the assembly degree of SAM coatings, when fluoroalkylsiloxane and alkylsiloxane-grafted surfaces with high hydrophobicity are to be prepared.

(ii) Fluorinated alkylsiloxane monolayers are expected to be more advantageous and efficient compared to alkylsiloxanes, giving rise to surfaces with higher static and dynamic hydrophobicity.

(iii) The use of rough or patterned surfaces [4,7,13,14] as substrates for hydrophobic SAMs, so as to entrap air into the final surface structure, seems to be perspective, too. As was shown in the previous sections, higher contact angles and reduced sliding angles can be attained on such surfaces with an increase in air inclusion. This conclusion is in good agreement with the experimental results of Saito et al. [5], who have reported a linear correlation between the contact angle of water and ice adhesion strength for rough "composite" water-repellent coatings of polytetrafluoroethylene particles dispersed in polyvinylidene fluoride resin binder. Thus, properly designed/patterned rough fluoroalkylsiloxane or alkylssiloxane-coated surfaces are also expected to be good candidates for ice-repellent applications.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We present a model to describe the wetting behavior of SAM-grafted surfaces as a function of assembly degree of organic molecules and amount of air trapped between water and solid. The model is applied to compare wetting of surfaces fully coated with fluoroalkylsiloxane and alkylsiloxane monolayers, which are formed from certain commercially available fluoroalkylsilanes and alkylsilanes.

The contact angle of a sessile water droplet is shown to be ~10° larger on smooth fluoroalkylsilane-coated surfaces and to gradually increase with the assembly order. The difference, however, decreases when rough substrates with air inclusion are used. The results of modeling predict very close values of contact angles on surfaces coated with perfluorodecylsiloxane and perfluorooctylsiloxane monolayers, however demonstrating little difference at low self-assembly degree, when organic molecules are spread on the surface and their CF₂ and CH₂ groups start interacting with water. At the same time, octadecylsiloxane coatings always show lower values of contact angle, which is due to the higher energy of CH₃ and CH₂- terminated surfaces compared with that of CF₃ and CF₂terminated ones.

It is demonstrated that both static (determined by the contact angle) and dynamic (determined by the sliding angle) hydrophobicity of SAM-coated surfaces are strongly affected by the degree of self-assembly of organic molecules, and by water coverage of a rough "composite" surface, which can trap air. Increasing the assembly order of SAM molecules in combination with properly designed roughness leads to considerably enhanced water repellency of the surface.

Further detailed studies, combining both experimental and theoretical approaches, are needed for further understanding and improvement of hydrophobicity and snow/ice repellency of fluoroalkylsiloxane and alkylsiloxane monolayers on various surfaces.

V. AKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was carried out within the framework of the NSERC/Hydro-Quebec Industrial Chair on Atmospheric Icing of Power Network Equipment (CIGELE) and the Canada Research Chair on Atmospheric Icing Engineering of Power Network (INGIVRE) at Université du Québec à Chicoutimi, with the collaboration of Kinectrics. The authors would like to thank all the sponsors of the project for their support.

VI. REFERENCES

[1] V.F. Petrenko and S. Peng, "Reduction of ice adhesion to metal by using self-assembling monolayers (SAMs)," *Can. J. Phys.*, vol.81, pp.387-393, 2003.

[2] M. Futamata, X. Gai, and H. Itoh, "Improvement of water-repellency homogeneity by compound fluorine-carbon sprayed coating and silane treatment," *Vacuum*, vol.73, pp.519-525, 2004.

[3] B. Somlo and V. Gupta, "A hydrophobic self-assembled monolayer with improved adhesion to aluminum for deicing application," *Mech. Mater.*, vol.33, pp.471-480, 2001.

[4] T. Kako, A. Nakajima, H. Irie, Z. Kato, K. Uematsu, T. Watanabe, and K. Hashimoto, "Adhesion and sliding of wet snow on a super-hydrophobic surface with hydrophilic channels," *J. Mater. Sci.*, vol.39, pp.547-555, 2004.

[5] H. Saito, K. Takai, and G. Yamauchi, "Water- and ice-repellent coatings," Surf. Coat. Int., vol.80, pp.168-172, 1997.

[6] M. Miwa, A. Nakajima, A. Fujishima, K. Hashimoto, and T. Watanabe, "Effects of the surface roughness on sliding angles of water droplets on superhydrophobic surfaces," *Langmuir*, vol.16, pp.5754-5760, 2000.

[7] K. Ogawa, M. Soga, Y. Takada, and I. Nakayama, "Development of a transparent and ultrahydrophobic glass plate," *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*, vol.32, pp.L614-L615, 1993.

[8] A. Nakajima, K. Hashimoto, and T. Watanabe, "Recent studies on superhydrophobic films," *Monatsh. Chem.*, vol.132, pp.31-41, 2001.

[9] S.A. Kulinich and M. Farzaneh, "Alkylsilane self-assembled monolayers: Modeling their wetting characteristics," *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, vol.230, pp.232-240, 2004.

[10] S.A. Kulinich and M. Farzaneh, "Hydrophobic properties of surfaces coated with fluoroalkylsiloxane and alkylsiloxane monolayers," *Surf. Sci.*, vol.573, pp.379-390, 2004.

[11] S.A. Kulinich and M. Farzaneh, "On wetting behavior of fluorocarbon coatings with various chemichal and roughness characteristics," *Vacuum*, submitted

[12] D.K. Schwartz, S. Steinberg, J. Israelachvili, and J.A.N. Zasadzinski, "Growth of a self-assembled monolayer by fractal aggregation," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol.69, pp.3354-3357, 1992.

[13] Y. Wu, H. Sugimura, Y. Inoue, and O. Takai, "Preparation of hard and ultra water-repellent silicon oxide films by microwave plasma-enhanced CVD at low substrate temperatures," Thin Solid Films, vol.435, pp.161-164, 2003.

[14] Z. Yoshimitsu, A. Nakajima, T. Watanabe, and K. Hashimoto, "Effects of Surface Structure on the Hydrophobicity and Sliding Behavior of Water Droplets," *Langmuir*, vol.18, pp.5818-5822, 2002.

[15] K.-H. Cha and D.-E. Kim, "Investigation of the tribological behavior of octadecyltrichlorosilane deposited on silicon," *Wear*, vol.251, pp.1169-1176, 2001.

[16] A.M. Almanza-Workman, S. Raghavan, S. Petrovic, B. Gogoi, P. Deymier, D.J. Monk, and R. Roop, *Thin Solid Films*, "Characterization of highly hydrophobic coatings deposited onto preoxidized silicon from water dispersible organosilanes," vol.423, pp.77-87, 2003.

[17] S. Suzuki, A. Nakajima, Y. Kameshima, and K. Okada, "Elongation and contraction of water droplet during sliding on the silicon surface treadted by fluoroalkylsilane," *Surf. Sci.*, vol.557, pp.L163-L168, 2004.

[18] H.-J. Jeong, D.-K. Kim, S.-B. Lee, S.-H. Kwon, and K. Kadono, "Preparation of water-Repellent glass by sol-gel process using perfluoroalkylsilane and tetraethoxysilane," *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, vol.235, pp.130-134, 2001.

[19] A. Nakajima, K. Hashimoto, T. Watanabe, K. Takai, G. Yamauchi, and A. Fujishima, "Transparent superhydrophobic thin films with self-cleaning properties," *Langmuir*, vol.16, pp.7044-7047, 2000.

[20] S. Shibuichi, T. Yamamoto, T. Onda, and K. Tsujii, "Super water- and oil-repellent surfaces resulting from fractal structure," *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, vol.208, pp.287-294, 1998.

[21] C. Carraro, O.W. Yauw, M.M. Sung, and R. Maboudian, "Observation of three mechanisms in self-assembled monolayers," J. Phys. Chem. B, vol.102, pp.4441-4445, 1998.

[22] T. Vallant, H. Brunner, U. Mayer, H. Hoffmann, T. Leitner, R. Resch, and G. Friedbacher, "Formation of self-assembled octadecylsiloxane monolayers on mica and silicon surfaces studied by atomic force microscopy and infrared spectroscopy," *J. Phys. Chem. B*, vol.102, pp.7190-7197, 1998.

[23] D.W. Britt and V. Hlady, "An AFM study of the effects of silanization temperature, hydration, and annealing on the nucleation and aggregation of condensed OTS domains on mica," *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, vol.178, pp.775-784, 1996.

[24] A.Y. Fadeev and T.J. McCarthy, "Self-assembly is not the only reaction possible between alkyltrichlorosilanes and surfaces: monomolecular and oligomeric covalently attached layers of dichloro- and trichloroalkylsilanes on silicon," *Langmuir*, vol.16, pp.7268-7274, 2000.

[25] J.N. Israelachvili and M.L. Gee, "Contact angles on chemically heterogeneous surfaces," Langmuir, vol.5, pp.288-289, 1989.

[26] A.B.D. Cassie, "Contact angles," *Discuss. Farad. Soc.*, vol.3, pp.11-16, 1948.

[27] R.N. Wenzel, "Resistance of solid surfaces to wetting by water," Ind. Eng. Chem., vol.28, pp.988-994, 1936.

[28] W. Chen, A.Y. Fadeev, M.C. Hsieh, D. Öner, J. Youngblood, and T.J. McCarthy, "Ultrahydrophobic and ultralyophobic surfaces: some comments and examples," *Langmuir*, vol.15, pp.3395-3399, 1999.

[29] H. Murase and T. Fujibayashi, "Characterization of molecular interfaces in hydrophobic systems," Prog. Org. Coat., vol.31, pp.97-104, 1997.

[30] M. Kiuru and E. Alakoski, "Low sliding angles in hydrophobic and oleophobic coatings prepared with plasma discharge method," Mater. Lett., vol.58, pp.2213-2216, 2004.

[31] E.L. Decker and S. Garoff, "Contact line structure and dynamics on surfaces with contact angle hysteresis," *Langmuir*, vol.13, pp.6321-6332, 1997.

[32] T. Nishino, M. Meguro, K. Nakamae, M. Matsushita, and Y. Ueda, "The lowest surface free energy based on –CF3 alignment," Langmuir, vol.15, pp.4321-4323, 1999.

[33] E. Wolfram and R. Faust, "Liquid drops on a tilted plate, contact angle hysteresis and the Young contact angle," in Wetting, spreading and adhesion, J.F. Padday, Ed. London: Academic Press, 1978, pp.213-222.

[34] H. Nakae, R. Inui, Y. Hirata, and H. Saito, "Effects of surface roughness on wettability," *Acta Mater.*, vol.46, pp.2313-2318, 1998.

Author address: Prof. M. Farzaneh, Chairholder, NSERC/Hydro-Québec/UQAC Industrial Chair on Atmospheric Icing of Power Network Equipment and Canada Research Chair on Engineering of Power Network Atmospheric Icing (INGIVRE), Université du Québec à Chicoutimi 555, Boulevard de l'Université, Chicoutimi, Québec, Canada, G7H 2B1, E-mail: farzaneh@uqac.ca